



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,067	09/28/2000	Samson X. Huang	884.326US1	2844	
7:	590 07/03/2002				
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			EXAMINER		
	P. O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			ALPHONSE, FRITZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2675	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Wo

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/675,067

Art Unit

Office Action Summary Examiner

Fritz Alphonse

2675

Huang



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply			
THE N		TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
If the pIf NO pFailureAny re	date of this communication. beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 20, 20	000 .		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	on is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rece Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-23			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	ation Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the de	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	fiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No		
	application from the International Burea			
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·		
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisiona			
15) □	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	eent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 9-10, 14, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ferris (U.S. Pat. No. 5,163,023).

Regarding claim 1, Ferris (figs. 1-5) teaches about an apparatus for repairing memory circuit comprising: a memory device (1) having a memory device input data bus (see figure 1) including a least significant bit and a plurality of non-least significant bits (col. 2, lines 52-56). Ferris teaches about a repair router (i.e., routing circuitry 8) having a repair router input data bus including a least significant bit and a plurality of non-least significant bits (see fig. 1), and a repair router output data bus coupled to the memory device input data bus (fig. 4; col. 2, lines 27-51; see abstract), the repair router having internal routing circuitry to route any of the plurality of non-least significant bits of the repair router input data bus to the least significant bit of the memory device input data bus (col. 5, lines 8-25).

As to claim 2, Ferris (fig. 1) discloses an apparatus, wherein the plurality of non-least significant bits includes a next-to-least significant bit; and a repair router further includes additional

Application/Control Number: 09/675,067

Page 3

Art Unit: 2675

repair routing circuitry to route any of the non-least significant bits to the next-to-least significant bit.

As to claim 9, the claim differs from claim 1 only in that the limitation "a plurality of addressable memory locations, each including a least significant bit and a plurality of non-least significant bits" are added. However, Ferris teaches about a memory array having a plurality of memory cells (col. 2, lines 10-20) including least and non-least significant bits.

As to claim 10, Ferris (fig. 1) discloses a memory device, wherein the plurality of addressable memory locations are arranged into a plurality of address ranges; and the first repair router further includes address decoding circuitry to decode each of the plurality of address ranges.

As to claim 14, the claim has substantially the limitations of claim 1. Therefore, it is analyzed as previously discussed in claim 1 above.

As to claim 20, the claim has substantially the limitations of claim 1. Therefore, it is analyzed as previously discussed in claim 1 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-8, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferris in view of Schieltz (U.S. Pat. No. 4,456,957).

Application/Control Number: 09/675,067

Art Unit: 2675

As to claim 3, Ferris does not teach a second repair router having a second repair router input data bus. However, Schieltz (see figure 4) shows a second repair router having a second repair router input data bus.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve upon the router controls interfaces as disclosed by Schieltz. By doing so the routing congestion within the resulting memory cell array are advantageously minimized.

As to claims 4-6, 11-13 Ferris discloses an apparatus, wherein the memory device includes a plurality of address ranges.

Ferris does not teach first and second repair routers. However, Schieltz (see figure 4) shows a second repair router (see figure 4). See the motivation above.

As to claims 7-8, the claims have substantially the limitations of claim 3. Therefore, they are analyzed as previously discussed in claim 3 above.

5. Claims 15-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferris in view of Lindsay (U.S. Pat. No. 6,330,693).

As to claim 15, the claim differs from claim 1 only in that the limitations "a display device having an array of pixels; a memory having a plurality of addresses, each of the plurality of addresses corresponding to one pixel in the array of pixels" are added.

However in the same field of endeavor Lindsay (figs. 1, 2) shows a display device (120) having an array of pixels; a memory (134) having a plurality of addresses (135), each of the plurality

Application/Control Number: 09/675,067

Page 5

Art Unit: 2675

of addresses (135) corresponding to one pixel in the array of pixels (col. 3, lines 46-52; col. 7, lines 12-31; fig. 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve upon the testing memory devices as disclosed by Lindsay. Doing so would provide a much more faster repair router circuitry.

As to claim 16, the claim has substantially the limitations of claim 15. Therefore, it is analyzed as previously discussed in claim 15 above.

As to claims 17-18 and 21-23, the claims have substantially the limitations of claim 15. Therefore, they are analyzed as previously discussed in claim 15 above.

As to claim 19, Ferris (fig. 1) discloses a display system wherein the plurality of addresses are arranged in a plurality of groups; and the repair router includes routing circuitry to utilize the least significant bits of each of the plurality of groups separately.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pierce (U.S. Pat. No. 5,898,826) discloses a method and apparatus for deadlock-free routing around an unusable routing component in an N-dimension network.

Trimberger (U.S. Pat. No. 6,094,385) discloses a repairable memory cell for a memory cell array.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2675

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703)308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse whose telephone number is (703) 308-8534.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Saras, can be reached on (703) 305-9720.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

F. Alphonse

Art Unit: 2675

June 27, 2002

STEVEN SARAS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600